

PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

HC
Pat Rev

Application : 10/073084 Examiner : Bianco GAU : 3162

From : CF Location : IDC BMF FDC Date : 4/15/05

Tracking # : _____ Week Date : _____

Attn: Chief Draftsman

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPEC	<u>2/12/02</u>	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Specification dated 2/12/02 cites Fig 1 & 2 drawings when "Figure"

2. Also, if there is a figure two missing, Figure 10 should be relabeled as "Fig 1".

3. Does DRW 5/15/03 belong to this file?
DRW 2/12/02 appear to be correct drawings for file

Thank you

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: 1. Spec filed 2/12/02 Has Matching Figures
Filed 2-12-02; this Drawing Sheet Filed Has 2 figures

2. Fig 1 & Fig 2 are each labeled - See attached, highlighted copy

3. Drawing 5/15/03 is part of Ref Filed in the IDS of 5-15-03
Not of this case

INITIALS:

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04